

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States: Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,976	07/11/2003	Takao Murakami	06753.0554	2868
22852 7	590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			GILMAN, ALEXANDER	
LLP 901 NEW YOF	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2833	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/616,976	MURAKAMI ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexander D. Gilman	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
 the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 			er is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or			the issues for				
ر(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>2, 3, 10</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-9 and 11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claim 1, the limitation regarding" the flexible locking being extended obliquely from a tap wall of the connector housing" is excluded. That would require a further consideration and an additional search.

Wey Gilman

07/01/05

ALEXANDER GILMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER